Course Title Mind, Creativity, and Imagination

Course CodeVSP508Recommended Study TermTerm 1

No. of Credits/Term 3

Mode of TuitionSectional approachClass Contact Hours3 hours per week

Category Elective

Prerequisite(s) Nil
Co-requisite(s) Nil
Exclusion(s) Nil
Exemption Requirement(s) Nil

Brief Course Description

This course offers an introduction to the notions of imagination and creativity from the point of view of contemporary philosophy of mind and of art. The first half of the course will focus on imagination, with an emphasis both on so-called 'propositional imagination' and on mental or sensory imagery. Propositional imagination (e.g., I imagine that I am a lighthouse keeper) is understood as a special form of more traditional propositional attitudes—like belief and/or desire. We will discuss different theories about the nature and functioning of imagination understood in this broad way. For example, how is the imagination like and different from belief? How does imagination connect with action? How does imagination function in our engagement with fictions? Mental or sensory imagery is the more traditional way to think about the imagination, but it has been recently overshadowed by propositional approaches to the (or a related) phenomenon. Here again we will spend some time looking at theories about the nature and functioning of imagination understood this way. For example, is sensory imagination fundamental to all imagination? What is the relation between imagery and perceptual experience? How does imagery function in our engagement with fictions? With our work on imagination as background, the second half of the course will be on creativity. We will first spend time considering how some contemporary philosophers and psychologists have analysed creativity. Second, we will consider creativity as it relates to imagination: is imagination necessary or essential to creative thought? Finally, we consider some theories of creativity in the arts, placing particular emphasis on the connection between creativity and value.

Aims

The course aims to:

- Introduce the students to some classic and contemporary theories of imagination and creativity;
- Enable students to draw connections to explore related scientific theories in psychology and cognitive science;
- Provide students with the intellectual resources to develop their own views on the value of imagination and creativity.

Learning Outcomes

On completion of the course, students will be able to:

- 1. Discuss some theories of imagination and creativity;
- 2. Specify the connections between cognitive scientific research on imagination and creativity and the relevant philosophical literature;
- 3. Distinguish different ways that imagination and creativity have been theorised;
- 4. Specify the connections between imagination, creativity and the artworld.

Indicative Content

- 1. Imagination, representation, and make-believe
- 2. Imagination as a unique mental capacity
- 3. Mental imagery and perception
- 4. Imagination and fiction
- 5. Concepts and definitions of creativity
- 6. Imagination and creativity
- 7. Imagination, creativity, and the artworld
- 8. Creativity and value

Teaching Method

Sectional approach or lectures/tutorials. Lectures will introduce the course material (LO1, 3, 4). In class tutorials will provide the opportunity to critically assess the theories introduced in the lectures and specify the various connections between different theories of imagination and creativity (LO3). Writing term papers and participation in class discussion will help the students develop their own views on the topics of the course. In the sectional approach, the presentation of the course material will be shorter and immediately followed by class discussion (LO1, 2, 3, 4).

Measurement of Learning Outcomes

| | Assessment Methods | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Learning Outcomes | Class Participation ¹ | Two Research Essays ² | Final Exam ³ |
| Discuss some theories of imagination and creativity; | ✓ | √ | ✓ |
| 2. Specify the connections between cognitive scientific research on imagination and creativity and the relevant philosophical literature; | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| 3. Distinguish different ways that imagination and creativity have been theorised; | √ | √ | ✓ |
| 4. Specify the connections between imagination, creativity and the artworld. | | √ | ✓ |

- 1. Students are expected to participate in classroom discussions and demonstrate good preparation for instance, they will have to demonstrate adequate knowledge of the required weekly readings when called by the lecturer.
- 2. Students are required to write two research essays (1000 words each), one on issues in aesthetics and one on philosophy of art. The essays should reflect the students' ability to explain the nature of several theories as well as the ability to argue for and against them.

Students can choose to substitute one of the essays with an in-class presentation (15-20mins, excluding Q&A).

3. A final exam, in which they will have to write short and long essay questions on the content of the course. Students will have to elaborate their views on the theories and works discussed in class.

Assessment Methods

| Class Participation | 20% |
|---------------------|------|
| Research Paper I | 25%* |
| Research Paper II | 25%* |
| Final Exam | 30% |

^{*} Students may decide to have an individual presentation in lieu of one (and only one) of the essays.

Indicative Schedule and Suggested Readings

Week 1 Introduction/history/basic concepts and questions

IMAGINATION

Week 2 Imagination, representation, and make-believe

Suggested readings:

- 1. Walton, K. (1990). *Mimesis as make-believe*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press. (Chapter 1)
- 2. Currie, G., & Ichino, A. (2013). Imagination and make-believe. In B. Gaut (Ed.), *The Routledge companion to aesthetics* (pp. 320-329). New York, NY: Routledge.

Week 3 & 4 Imagination as a unique mental capacity

Suggested readings:

- 1. Currie, G., & Ravenscroft, I. (2003). *Recreative minds: Imagination in philosophy and psychology*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press. (Chapters 1 and 3)
- 2. Spaulding, S. (2016). Simulation theory. In A. Kind (Ed.), *The Routledge handbook of philosophy of imagination*(pp. 262-273). London, England: Routledge.
- 3. Nichols, S., & Stich, S. (2000). A cognitive theory of pretence. *Cognition*, 74, 115-147.

Week 5 & 6 Mental imagery and perception

Suggested readings:

- 1. Gregory, D. (2016). Imagination and mental imagery. In A. Kind (Ed.), *The Routledge handbook of philosophy of imagination* (pp. 97-110). London, England: Routledge.
- 2. Nanay, B. (2016). Imagination and perception. In A. Kind (Ed.), *The Routledge handbook of philosophy of imagination* (pp. 124-134). London, England: Routledge.

Weeks 7 & 8 Imagination and fiction

Suggested readings:

- 1. Gendler, T. S. (2000). The puzzle of imaginative resistance. *Journal of Philosophy*, 97, 55-81.
- 2. Radford, C. (1975). How can we be moved by the fate of Anna Karenina? *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society, Supplementary Volumes*, 49, 67-80.
- 3. Friend, S. (2016). Fiction and emotion. In A. Kind (Ed.), *The Routledge handbook of philosophy of imagination* (pp. 217-229). London, England: Routledge.

CREATIVITY

Week 9 & 10 Concepts and definitions of creativity

Suggested readings:

- 1. Gaut, B. (2010). The philosophy of creativity. *Philosophy Compass*, 5, 1034-1046.
- 2. Boden, M. (2004). *The creative mind: Myths and mechanisms*, 2nd ed. London, England: Routledge. (Chapter 3)

Week 11 & 12 Imagination and creativity

Suggested readings:

- 1. Gaut, B. (2003). Creativity and imagination. In B. Gaut & P. Livingston (Eds.), *The creation of art: New essays in philosophical aesthetics* (pp. 148-173). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- 2. Picciuto, E., & Carruthers, P. (2014). The origins of creativity. In E. S. Paul & S. B. Kaufman (Eds.), *The philosophy of creativity: New essays* (pp. 199-223). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Week 13 Imagination, creativity, and the artworld

Suggested readings:

- 1. Carroll, N. (2003). Art, creativity, and tradition. In B. Gaut & P. Livingston (Eds.), *The creation of art: New essays in philosophical aesthetics*(pp. 208-234). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- 2. Currie, G. (2014). Creativity and the insight that literature brings. In E. S. Paul & S. B. Kaufman (Eds.), *The philosophy of creativity: New essays* (pp. 39-61). New York, NY: Oxford University Press

Week 14 Creativity and value

Suggested reading

1. Gaut, B. (2018). The value of creativity. In B. Gaut and M. Kieran (Eds.), *Creativity and philosophy* (pp. 124-139). London, England: Routledge.

Important Notes

- (1) Students are expected to spend a total of 9 hours (i.e. 3 hours of class contact and 6 hours of personal study) per week to achieve the course learning outcomes.
- (2) Students shall be aware of the University regulations about dishonest practice in course work, tests and examinations, and the possible consequences as stipulated in the Regulations Governing University Examinations. In particular, plagiarism, being a kind of dishonest practice, is "the presentation of another person's work without proper acknowledgement of the source, including exact phrases, or summarised ideas, or even footnotes/citations, whether protected by copyright or not, as the student's own work". Students are required to strictly follow university regulations governing academic integrity and honesty.
- (3) Students are required to submit writing assignment(s) using Turnitin.
- (4) To enhance students' understanding of plagiarism, a mini-course "Online Tutorial on Plagiarism Awareness" is available on https://pla.ln.edu.hk/

Mind, Creativity, and Imagination Research Paper (25%) Assessment Rubrics

| Assessment Categories | Excellent (A) | Good (B) | Pass (C) | Fail (F) |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Knowledge of Course | Knowledge goes | Essay/answer demonstrates | Some of the basic points | Student's understanding |
| Material | substantially beyond what | knowledge of most | are understood, but the | deviates significantly from |
| | is expected of students in | important points; shows | student shows substantial | the positions discussed in |
| PA: 8/6/4/2/0 | this course. | understanding of the basic | misunderstandings. | class/ possibly fails to |
| | | philosophical positions and | | write on the assigned topic. |
| | | disagreements. | | |
| | | | | |
| Argumentation and | Essay presents compelling | Essay presents compelling | Arguments are often vague | No discernible arguments/ |
| Originality | arguments, shows | arguments and shows | and impressionistic, or | no engagement with the |
| | understanding of important | understanding of important | supported with dubious | ideas of the class. |
| PA: 8/6/4/2/0 | objections, and offers | objections. Novel portions | claims or fallacious modes | |
| | novel arguments or ideas | are less compelling or | of reasoning. | |
| | that show a high degree of | absent. | | |
| | understanding. | | | |
| Organisation | Ideas are clearly arranged | As before, but with slightly | Essay seems to switch | Total lack of |
| | to allow easy | more mistakes in | topics at points. | organisational structure/ |
| PA: 5/4/3/2/0 | | arrangement of ideas. | | incomplete. |

| | understanding of their relations. | | | |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Writing | Flawless, engaging | Occasional mistakes in | Portions of the paper | The content of the paper |
| | writing. | grammar or spelling which | cannot be accurately | cannot be evaluated/ |
| PA: 4/3/2/1/0 | | do not interfere with | assessed because of | student is making little to |
| | | comprehension. | problems with the writing. | no effort to complete the |
| | | | | assignment. |

The rubric of the final exam will vary depending on the number and difficulty of the examination. The relevant rubric will be included at the beginning of the final questions.

Mind, Creativity, and Imagination Final Exam (30%) Assessment Rubrics

| Assessment Categories | Excellent (A) | Good (B) | Pass (C) | Fail (F) |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | | | |
| Knowledge | Demonstrates knowledge | Demonstrates knowledge | Demonstrates only a | Demonstrates very little |
| (Weight: 70%) | of all the relevant points, | of most relevant points, | limited understanding of | understanding of even the |
| 21/14/7/0 | with a clear and accurate | with a largely clear and | the basic points. | basic points. |
| | exposition. | accurate exposition. | | |
| | | | | |
| Argumentation | Presents novel, | Presents compelling | Attempts to express an | Makes little or no attempt |
| (Weight: 30%) | compelling arguments | arguments, with less | argument, but the result is | to present arguments. |
| 7/4/2/0 | with enough details. | novelty or some gaps. | unclear or messy. | |
| | | | | |

Mind, Creativity, and Imagination Class Participation (20%) Assessment Rubrics

| Assessment Categories | Excellent (A) | Good (B) | Pass (C) | Fail (F) |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | 20-16% | 15-10% | 9-6% | 5-1% |
| Participation and in- | The student attends class | Attends class regularly | Attends class regularly but | Attends class regularly but |
| class discussion | regularly and always | and sometimes contributes | rarely contributes to the | never contributes to the |
| | contributes to the | to the discussion in the | discussion in the | discussion in the |
| 20/14/7/0 | discussion by raising | aforementioned ways. The | aforementioned ways. The | aforementioned ways. |
| | thoughtful questions, | level of engagement and | level of engagement and | The student is seldom |
| | analysing relevant issues, | preparation is good but | preparation is sufficient | engaged with the course |
| | and demonstrating | not outstanding. | but could be significantly | material and the |
| | excellent preparation | | improved. | preparation for the lesson |
| | (e.g., knowledge of the | | | lacking in most cases. |
| | assigned readings). The | | | |
| | level of engagement with | | | |
| | the course material is | | | |
| | always excellent. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |